

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: stonehenge plans
Date: 04 April 2022 21:56:16

Comments invited on
Alternatives. These are not being considered. eg the possible
southern route of the road which would be cheaper

policy. The proposed tunnel would be too short. it would create a huge
spoil-tip which would dwarf the surrounding 1000
round barrows.

it would obliterate the archaeological evidence waiting to
be studied in the unique, vast, sacred site
surrounding Stonehenge..

Carbon

Environmental impact Government policy on these have changed since
COP 26. These changes are not addressed.

Any Other matters. This is vandalism hoping to get money. Unesco is
against this proposal. So is our own Supreme Court.

Stonehenge might well lose its World (
not just the British people to whom it belongs) Heritage Site
status.

In that case, all the destruction
would have been done and no tourists would want to come and pay to see
it.

5000 years of human history have been
respected. More and more discoveries are being made now.

Disrespecting this unique sacred site
gives a bad example to other countries who at present value that
we have respected it, and are
discovering their own ancient heritage [REDACTED]

This would be a shameful legacy
from this government, from us now.

Harriet Proudfoot [REDACTED]